UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

<u>In Re Tyco International, Ltd.</u>
<u>Multidistrict Litigation (MDL 1335)</u>

MDL NO. 02-1335-PB SECURITIES ACTION

Scott Davis, et al.
v.
Dennis Kozlowski, et al.

Case No. 04-cv-1338-PB

ORDER

Tyco International, Ltd. and PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP have filed motions to dismiss Scott Davis's complaint. See Doc. Nos. 704, 707. The motions rely on the Supreme Court's recent opinion in Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith v. Dabit, 126 S.Ct. 1503 (2006), in arguing that Davis's claims are preempted by the Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act ("SLUSA"). Davis has not objected to either motion. Instead, he argues that I should remand the case to state court after dismissing the preempted claims. See Doc. No. 695-2. Alternatively, he "conditionally moves for leave to amend the complaint to limit the class to 50 persons and then remand it to state court." Id.

at 1. However, Davis has not presented the court with a proposed

amended complaint as required by Local Rule 15.1(a).

I cannot grant Davis the relief he seeks. All of Davis's

pending claims must be dismissed because they are preempted by

Thus there are no active claims to be remanded. To be

entitled to a remand, Davis must file a proposed amended

complaint in accordance with our Local Rules that states claims

that are not preempted by SLUSA. Because he has failed to do so,

he is not entitled to have his case remanded to state court.

Defendants' motions to dismiss (Doc. Nos. 704, 707) are granted.

Plaintiff's motion to remand (Doc. No. 4, Case No. 04-cv-1338-PB)

is denied. This order is entered without prejudice to Davis's

right to file a new state court action asserting claims that are

not preempted by SLUSA.

SO ORDERED.

/s/Paul Barbadoro

Paul Barbadoro

United States District Judge

July 14, 2006

cc: Counsel of Record

-2-